IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MELISSA MOLINA, No. 4:20-CV-01406

Plaintiff, (Chief Judge Brann)

v. (Magistrate Judge Arbuckle)

KILOLO KIJAKAZI,¹
Acting Commissioner of Social
Security,

Defendant.

ORDER

MARCH 22, 2022

Melissa Molina filed this action seeking review of a decision by the Acting Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying Molina's claim for social security disability benefits.² On March 1, 2022, Magistrate Judge William I. Arbuckle issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that this Court affirm the Commissioner's decision and close this case.³ No timely objections were filed to this Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Kilolo Kijakazi, as the successor officer to Andrew Saul, Commissioner of Social Security, is automatically substituted as Defendant in this action.

² Docs. 1, 13.

³ Doc. 17.

Where no objection is made to a report and recommendation, this Court will review the recommendation only for clear error.⁴ Regardless of whether timely objections are made, district courts may accept, reject, or modify—in whole or in part—the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.⁵ Upon review of the record, the Court finds no clear error in Magistrate Judge Arbuckle's conclusion that the Commissioner's decision is supported by substantial evidence.

Consequently, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Magistrate Judge William I. Arbuckle's Report and Recommendation

(Doc. 17) is **ADOPTED**;

2. The Commissioner's decision is **AFFIRMED**;

3. Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant and against Molina

pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58 and sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g);

and

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

<u>s/Matthew W. Brann</u> Matthew W. Brann

Chief United States District Judge

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes; *see Henderson v. Carlson*, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987) (explaining that court should in some manner review recommendations regardless of whether objections were filed).

⁵ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule 72.31.

2